UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In Re:

\$ Chapter 11

\$ W.R. GRACE & CO., et al.,

\$ Jointly Administered
Case No. 01-01139 (JKF)

\$ \$

FEE AUDITOR'S FINAL REPORT REGARDING FEE APPLICATION OF HAMILTON, RABINOVITZ & ALSCHULER, INC. FOR THE FIFTH INTERIM PERIOD

This is the final report of Warren H. Smith & Associates, P.C. ("Smith"), acting in its capacity as fee auditor in the above-captioned bankruptcy proceedings, regarding the Interim Fee
<a href="Application" Application")

This is the final report of Warren H. Smith & Associates, P.C. ("Smith"), acting in its capacity as fee auditor in the above-captioned bankruptcy proceedings, regarding the Interim Fee
<a href="Application" Application")

This is the final report of Warren H. Smith & Associates, P.C. ("Smith"), acting in its capacity as fee auditor in the above-captioned bankruptcy proceedings, regarding the Interim Fee
<a href="Application" Application")

This is the final report of Warren H. Smith & Associates, P.C. ("Smith"), acting in its capacity as fee auditor in the above-captioned bankruptcy proceedings, regarding the Interim Fee
<a href="Application")

BACKGROUND

- 1. Hamilton, Rabinovitz & Alschuler, Inc. ("HR&A") was retained as consultants to the official committee of asbestos property damage claimants. In the Application, HR&A seeks approval of fees totaling \$42,535.00 and costs totaling \$5,473.05 for its services from April 1, 2002 through June 30, 2002.
- 2. In conducting this audit and reaching the conclusions and recommendations contained herein, we reviewed in detail the Application in its entirety, including each of the time entries included in the exhibits to the Application, for compliance with 11 U.S.C. § 330, Local Rule 2016-2 of the Local Rules of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, Amended Effective February 1, 2001, and the United States Trustee Guidelines for Reviewing Applications

for Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses Filed Under 11 U.S.C. 330, Issued January 30, 1996, (the "Guidelines"), as well as for consistency with precedent established in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, and the Third Circuit Court of Appeals. We served on HR&A an initial report based on our review, and received a response from HR&A portions of which are quoted herein.

DISCUSSION

General Issues

- 3. We noted in our initial report that within the fee detail of the Application, the individual time entries were not divided by category but chronologically by timekeeper. Paragraph II.D.1. of the Guidelines states that "[t]o facilitate effective review of the application, all time and service entries should be arranged by project categories....[a] separate project category should be used for administrative matters and, if payment is requested, for fee application preparation." We asked HR&A to please refer to the Guidelines, as well as the Delaware Local Rules when preparing future fee applications and HR&A responded that it would do so.
- 4. We noted in our initial report that timekeepers Rabinovitz, Silvern and Sims often did not include sufficient detail in their time entries. Rule 2016-2(d) of the Delaware Local Rules states "activity descriptions . . . shall be sufficiently detailed to allow the Court to determine whether all the time, or any portion thereof, is actual, reasonable, and necessary . . ." We asked HR&A to please advise these professionals to provide more detailed time entries in the future and HR&A responded that it would do so.

Specific Time and Expense Entries

5. We noted in our initial report that the Application has a summary of expenses but

failed to provide a list of expense details. Of special concern were the travel expenses. The

Guidelines Rule II. E. provides that "[f]actors relevant to a determination that the expense is proper

include the following: . .3. Whether applicant has provided a detailed itemization of all expenses

including the date incurred, description of expense (e.g., type of travel, type of fare, rate,

destination), ... ". Thus, we requested HR&A to please provide detailed expense entries with regard

to those expenses for which they wished to be reimbursed. HR&A responded that:

HR&A provides all details of its travel and other expenses in the monthly invoices submitted to Bilzin Sumberg Dunn Baena Price & Axelrod LLP, but these details may not have been attached to the invoice excerpts that were included in the Application. A summary of these expenses by type was included, however, in the Application. A copy of the expenses section of each HR&A invoice covered by the Application is attached as Exhibit B to this letter.

We reviewed these expense details, but we believe that there are certain invoices for which a

reduction is in order, the receipts for which are set forth in Response Exhibit 1. With regard to the

per-room rate charge of \$329.00 for 3 rooms at the Mandarin Oriental, Miami, a hotel which boasts

its luxury accommodations, we recommend a reduction of \$79.00 per night per room, making the

rate \$250.00 per night, and thus for this hotel charge we recommend a reduction of \$237.00 in

expenses.

We also recommend a reduction for the purchase of a book and a newspaper, for a reduction of

\$15.93 in expenses.

Finally, on April 22, 2002, professional Rabinovitz charged the estate for \$206.00 for a dinner with

Dr. Rabinovitz, Dr. Sims and Paul Silvern, which calculates to \$68.66 per person. As we believe

that a reasonable charge per person is \$35.00, we recommend a reduction of \$101.00 in expenses.

FEE AUDITOR'S FINAL REPORT - Page 3

6. We noted in our initial report that professionals FFR, PJS and RHS prepared for, traveled to, and participated in a Committee meeting in Miami, Florida for a total of 135.20 hours and a total expense to the bankruptcy estate of \$22,795.00. (See Exhibit A). According to Local Rule 2016-2(d)(ix), "[t]he activity descriptions shall individually identify all meetings and hearings, each participant, the subject(s) of the meeting or hearing, and the participant's role." The matter of multiple professionals, is also addressed in the Guidelines, Paragraph II.D.5.: "[i]f more than one professional from the applicant firm attends a hearing or conference, the applicant should explain the need for multiple attendees." The fee detail did not provide the reason for multiple professionals at this meeting nor did it explain each professional's role. Thus, we requested HR&A to please explain the need for multiple professionals at this meeting including, but not limited to, the area of expertise and role of each participant. HR&A responded that:

It was necessary for all three HR&A staff to attend the meeting because each professional plays a distinctly different role in the delivery of the services for which HR&A was retained. Dr. Francine F. Rabinovitz, HR&A's Executive Vice President, is responsible for all of the case-related services provided by HR&A, and provides expertise in scientific methods used to analyze asbestos property damage claims liability. Paul J. Silvern, an HR&A Partner, has day-to-day responsibility for managing the delivery of HR&A's services in this case, and has special expertise in building design and construction that are central to an understanding of asbestos property damage liability. Dr. Robert H. Sims is HR&A's chief economist and an expert in the application of statistical methods used to estimate asbestos property damage claims liability. The subjects discussed at the meeting required the presence of all three HR&A professionals.

Thus, we have no objections to these fees.

7. We noted in our initial report that during the Application period professional FFR spent a total of 5.0 hours for a total expense to the estate of \$1,875.00 on the "notice program". The entries are provided below.

05/10/02	FFR	1.00	375.00	Cat. 12. Case Administration and Consulting. Talk w.
05/22/02	FFR	2.00	750.00	committe[e] chair about notice program. Cat. 12. Case Administration and Consulting. Review
05/23/02	FFR	0.70	262.50	notice program Cat. 12. Case Administration and Consulting. Further
05/24/02	FFR	2.00	750.00	work on notice program Cat. 12. Case Administration and Consulting. Continue work on notice program

Local Rule 2016-2(d) requires that "motion[s] shall include activity descriptions which shall be sufficiently detailed to allow the Court to determine whether all the time, or any portion thereof, is actual, reasonable, and necessary". We further noted that neither the narrative nor the individual time entries provided enough information for a proper analysis of this project. As such, we asked HR&A to please augment the entries listed and provide additional information with regard to the type of project this was, as well as its benefit to the estate. HR&A responded that:

The specific time entries questioned by the Fee Auditor concern the responses of Dr. Rabinovitz to questions posed by the Chair of the Property Damage Committee about whether the Debtor's program for providing notice to potential property damage claimants about the substantive requirements and time limits for filing property damage claims for consideration in the bankruptcy proceedings is likely to reach all potential claimants, and the design of a scientifically valid method for testing the adequacy of the program in that regard.

Thus, we have no objection to these fees.

CONCLUSION

8. Thus we recommend approval of fees totaling \$42,535.00 and costs totaling \$5,152.12 (\$5,473.05 minus \$353.93) for HRA's services from April 1, 2002, through June 30, 2002.

Respectfully submitted,

WARREN H. SMITH & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

By: Warren H. Smith

State Bar No. 18757050

900 Jackson Street 120 Founders Square Dallas, Texas 75202 214-698-3868 214-722-0081 (fax) whsmith@whsmithlaw.com

FEE AUDITOR

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been served First Class United States mail to the attached service list on this 1st day of November, 2002.

Warren H Smith

SERVICE LIST

Notice Parties

The Applicant

Paul Silvern Hamilton, Rabinovitz and Alschuler, Inc 6033 West Century Blvd, Suite 890 Los Angeles, CA 90045-6419

The Debtors

David B. Siegel, Esq. Sr. V.P. and Gen. Counsel W.R. Grace & Co. 7500 Grace Drive Columbia, MD 21044

Counsel for the Debtors

James H.M. Sprayregen, Esq. Kirkland & Ellis 200 East Randolph Drive Chicago, IL 60601

Laura Davis Jones, Esq.
Pachulski, Stang, Ziehl, Young & Jones, P.C.
919 North Market Street, Suite 1600
P.O. Box 8705
Wilmington, DE 19899-8705

Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors

Lewis Kruger, Esq Stroock & Stroock & Lavan 180 Maiden Lane New York, NY 10038-4982 Michael R. Lastowski, Esq. Duane Morris & Heckscher 1100 N. Market Street, Suite 1200 Wilmington, De 19801-1246

Counsel to the Official Committee of Property Damage Claimants

Scott L. Baena, Esq Bilzin, Sumberg, Dunn, Baena, Price & Axelrod First Union Financial Center 200 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 2500 Miami, FL 33131

Michael B. Joseph, Esq. Ferry & Joseph, P.A. 824 Market Street, Suite 904 P.O. Box 1351 Wilmington, DE 19899

<u>Counsel to the Official Committee of</u> <u>Personal Injury Claimants</u>

Elihu Inselbuch, Esq. Caplin & Drysdale 399 Park Avenue, 36th Floor New York, NY 10022

Marla R. Eskin Campbell & Levine, LLC Suite 300 800 N. King Street Wilmington, DE 19801

Official Committee of Equity Holders

Thomas M. Mayer, Esq. Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel 919 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022

Teresa K.D. Currier, Esq. Klett Rooney Lieber & Schorling 1000 West Street, Suite 1410 Wilmington, DE 19801

United States Trustee

Office of the United States Trustee Frank J. Perch, Esq. 844 King Street, Suite 2311 Wilmington, DE 19801

EXHIBIT A

04/12/02	FFR	2.30	862.50	Cat. 2. Asbestos: Claims Analysis & Valuation. Prepare answers to questions re various subjects of
				4/23 meeting.
04/15/02	FFR	4.30	1612.50	Cat. 2. Asbestos: Claims Analysis & Valuation.
				Continue preparation for 4/23 meeting of
04/16/02	FFR	6.30	2362.50	subcommittee. Cat. 2. Asbestos: Claims Analysis & Valuation.
04/10/02	LLK	0.30	2302.30	Continue preparation for 4/23 meeting of
				subcommittee.
04/17/02	FFR	3.30	1237.50	Cat. 2. Asbestos: Claims Analysis & Valuation.
				Continue preparation for 4/23 meeting.
04/17/02	PJS	1.80	585.00	Cat. 2. Asbestos: Claims Analysis & Valuation.
				Prepare for meeting with PD Sub-committee
04/19/02	DIC	1.00	225.00	members.
04/18/02	PJS	1.00	325.00	Cat. 2. Asbestos: Claims Analysis & Valuation. Prepare for meeting with PD Sub-committee
				members.
04/19/02	PJS	2.30	747.50	Cat. 2. Asbestos: Claims Analysis & Valuation.
0 1/19/02	105	2.50	7 17.50	Prepare for meeting with PD Sub-committee
				members.
04/20/02	PJS	1.50	487.50	Cat. 2. Asbestos: Claims Analysis & Valuation.
				Prepare for meeting with PD Sub-committee
				members.
04/21/02	PJS	6.00	1950.00	Cat. 2. Asbestos: Claims Analysis & Valuation.
				Prepare for meeting with PD Sub-committee
04/22/02	FFR	4.00	1500.00	members. Cat. 2. Asbestos: Claims Analysis & Valuation.
04/22/02	TTK	4.00	1300.00	Working travel to meeting of subcommittee
04/22/02	PJS	5.00	1625.00	Cat. 2. Asbestos: Claims Analysis & Valuation.
5 17 — 27 5 —				Prepare for meeting with PD Sub-committee
				members.
04/22/02	RHS	4.50	1237.50	Cat. 2. Asbestos: Claims Analysis & Valuation.
				Travel to Miami for property damage subcommittee
				meeting; review ARPC and related PD and BI
04/02/02	CCD	0.00	2000 00	estimates.
04/23/02	FFR	8.00	3000.00	Cat. 2. Asbestos: Claims Analysis & Valuation.
04/23/02	PJS	6.50	2112.50	Participate in Subcommittee Meeting Cat. 2. Asbestos: Claims Analysis & Valuation.
07/ <i>23</i> /02	135	0.50	2112.30	Meeting with PD Sub-committee.
04/23/02	RHS	6.00	1650.00	Cat. 2. Asbestos: Claims Analysis & Valuation. Meet
				·

wrg FR Hamilton 5Q 4-6.02.wpd

Case 01-01139-AMC Doc 2916 Filed 10/31/02 Page 10 of 10

with PD Committee Cat. 2. Asbestos: Claims Analysis & Valuation. 04/24/02 FFR 4.00 1500.00

Working return travel. Read materials distributed.